

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/516,975	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FRANCISQUINI, MELQUISEDEC	
	<b>Examiner</b> James O. Hansen	<b>Art Unit</b> 3637	

**All Participants:**

(1) James O. Hansen.

(2) Mr. William Sapone.

**Date of Interview:** 28 August 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** In condition for allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** ~

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

all claims of record

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/James O. Hansen/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In an effort to expedite the prosecution of the application process [compact prosecution], the examiner contacted applicant and proposed amendments to the claims [basically, minor amendments in order to cure clarity issues, and cancelling non-elected claims 33-36] so as to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to the proposed changes as outlined in the attached examiner's amendment .